[redacted]

From: [redacted]
Sent: 02 September 2026 17:10
To: Crown Casework
Subject: PCU/RARE/C3105/3378843

Categories: RE

You don't often get email from [redacted] Learn why this is important

To whom it may concern,

I am writing regarding the proposed use of the former MOD site to accommodate in excess of 1,250 individuals in close proximity to a small village with a resident population of approximately 350 people.

Many local residents are deeply concerned by the apparent lack of meaningful consultation and the speed at which this proposal is progressing. It is difficult to understand how a development of this scale, which would have a significant impact on the local area and infrastructure, can be considered without giving residents a proper opportunity to engage with the process and have their views heard.

Furthermore, the Home Office's own documentation reportedly acknowledges that the site is "not ideal". This raises serious questions about the suitability of the location and the rationale for pursuing the proposal despite identified concerns.

There are also widely reported issues relating to the condition of the site, including the presence of confined asbestos and confirmed sightings of protected wildlife species. These factors would appear to require careful consideration before any plans proceed.

Could you please advise:

What is the official process by which local residents can submit objections or representations?

Which authority is responsible for considering and determining those objections?

What consultation process has been undertaken to date, and what further consultation is planned?

What opportunities will residents have to review the evidence supporting the suitability of the site?

Given the scale of the proposal and its potential impact on the local community, I believe it is essential that any decision-making process is transparent, robust, and allows residents a genuine opportunity to participate.

I look forward to your response.

Kind regards,
[redacted]